To: FergusonP@ottawapolice.ca[FergusonP@ottawapolice.ca]
From: Beaudin, Marcel (OPP)[/O=OPP/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=MARCEL.BEAUDIN]
Sent: Sat 2/12/2022 12:25:31 PM (UTC-05:00)
Subject: Fwd: RE: Follow up

For your operational awareness. I have had a conversation with DM R. Stewart outlining some of the questions below.

Respectfully,
Marcel

Marcel

Sent from Workspace ONE Boxer

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: "Beaudin, Marcel (OPP)" <Marcel.Beaudin@opp.ca>
Date: Feb. 12, 2022 11:47 a.m.
Subject: RE: Follow up
To: "Walker, Giselle (OPP)" <Giselle.Walker@opp.ca>,"Carrique, Thomas (OPP)" <Thomas.Carrique@opp.ca>
Cc: "DiMarco, Rose (OPP)" <Rose.DiMarco@opp.ca>

Good morning,

Giselle can you please check on the list of leaders and let me know their background.

Commissioner for the sake of time I am going straight to you for the below confirmation that you are approving of the following with DM Stewart through conversation? I will call DM Stewart upon your approval. Note the quick turnaround.

Respectfully,
Marcel

From: Stewart, Rob [mailto:Rob.Stewart@PS-SP.GC.CA]
Sent: 12-Feb-22 11:23 AM
To: Beaudin, Marcel (OPP) <Marcel.Beaudin@opp.ca>
Cc: Hutchinson, Jeffery <Jeffery.Hutchinson@pco-bcp.gc.ca>
Subject: RE: Follow up

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments in unexpected emails.

Thank you, Marcel. I appreciate the comments and have edited the letter and the Annex (attached). We have a big meeting this afternoon where this will be discussed, so I need really your input.

The Annex is supposed to be independent police advice. PLEASE CHANGE ADVICE TO CONSIDERATIONS Note that I have changed some of the bullets to reduce the focus on Ottawa and make them more general. Please let me know if you are ok with this by no later than 12:30. In addition, I am being asked a number of questions that I could use your help answering:

- Would the signatory of the letter or the person who goes to the meeting be putting themselves at risk? Is that a big concern? Meeting could be virtual/ or security in place. Risk associated to any meeting but there is nothing I'm aware of from a risk that I'm aware of. There has been a meeting between a leader and public official already in Ottawa. Not sure how else I can comment.
- Would the letter be shared by handing it out, or just shown to people? How quickly would the government have to acknowledge making the offer? Shared by handing out or shown. They would probably want a copy. Once it goes to the leadership to which you could acknowledge the desire to have this come to an end through a meeting afterwards.
- I know you can provide a list of leaders that would be engaged and I think I will need that. Can you also provide a sense of who would be at the meeting at a later date? Would it potentially include radicalized people that the government would not want to be seen providing a forum to? Can any assurances be provided in this regard? It would be a list of leaders that have say within the group. I have not been included in any POI sheets of who may be included. Giselle can you confirm the

list? I'm not sure what the threshold for a meeting would be for the governments acceptance for meeting and I'm not sure I could comment on that.

Happy to deal with this verbally given the shortage of time.

If I can manage to work through this process, and the RCMP Commissioner will be privy to the discussion, I think I can assure you that the federal government's commitment will be solid. But we have to get there first! Thanks

Rob Stewart
Deputy Minister / Sous-ministre
Public Safety / Sécurité publique
269 Laurier Ave West
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1A 0P8
[redacted]
rob.stewart@ps-sp.gc.ca.ca
Note: this email account is monitored

From: Beaudin, Marcel (OPP) <Marcel.Beaudin@opp.ca>
Sent: Saturday, February 12, 2022 10:23 AM
To: Stewart, Rob <Rob.Stewart@PS-SP.GC.CA>
Subject: RE: Follow up

Good morning,

I will call later for discussion. On top of the considerations from yesterday. The below are considerations and concerns after consultation with other police leaders.

It may cross the line between church and state. For example, "Police Advice" doesn't articulate if it is advice to Police or from Police and always worried on ATIP. A concern for obvious reasons.

· P.1, principles second bullet "No Negotiation". Negotiation should never be ruled out and under "Process & Timing", From past conversation with you, your team would not have negotiation authority. It should be expanded as "negotiation authority."

· This line: "Upon agreement to the proposal, provide police liaison in writing a commitment to government engagement at a later date that could be shared in meetings with protest leaders." The RCMP and all policing partners will have to be assured that the commitment to engage on the part of the Government is solid and there will be a follow through. The messenger (i.e., police) will be held to account, not the government.

· Second – "coordinated police messaging and action should occur in a unified manner". While ideal, this is unrealistic, particularly this weekend. Please remove this portion.

Respectfully,

Marcel

Sent from Workspace ONE Boxer

On Feb. 12, 2022 9:25 a.m., "Stewart, Rob" <Rob.Stewart@PS-SP.GC.CA> wrote:

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments in unexpected emails.

Morning, can we have a chat today? I have to face the music this aft. Call me wheneve[redacted] Thanks

Rob Stewart
Deputy Minister / Sous-ministre

Public Safety / Sécurité publique
269 Laurier Ave West
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1A 0P8
[redacted]
rob.stewart@ps-sp.gc.ca.ca
Note: this email account is monitored

From: Beaudin, Marcel (OPP) <Marcel.Beaudin@opp.ca>
Sent: Saturday, February 12, 2022 9:18 AM
To: Stewart, Rob <Rob.Stewart@PS-SP.GC.CA>
Subject: Follow up

Good morning,

We should have another quick conversation tomorrow morning.

I will have additional follow up considerations after consulting police partners.

Marcel

Sent from Workspace ONE Boxer